

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/765,368 | 01/22/2001 | Michio Ono | Q62757 | 8600 | |
| 7. | 590 09/13/2002 | | | | |
| SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | | | WEINER, LAURA S | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1745 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002 | DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 09/765,368 | ONO ET AL. | | | |
| omos notion cummary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| The MAII ING DATE of this communication a | Laura S Weiner | 1745 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sneet w | ith the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r eply within the statutory minimum of third d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 | <u> 2 January 2001</u> . | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T | This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | wance except for formal mat er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I | ters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers | election requirement. | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | plication No. | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ority documents have been r | eceived in this National Stage | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for demosti | or the certified copies not re | eceived. | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. 9 | 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § | ∌n received. 68 120 and/or 121. | | | |
| Attachment(s) | • | • ·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
|) | 5) Notice of Inf | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a polymerizable molten salt monomer, classified in class 546,
 subclass various.
 - II. Claims 9-11, drawn to an electrolyte composition, classified in class 252, subclass 62.2
 - III. Claims 12 and 14, drawn to an electrochemical cell, classified in class 429, subclass 317.
 - IV. Claim 13, drawn to a photoelectrochemical cell comprising a photosensitive layer containing a semiconductor sensitized with a dye, classified in class 204, subclass 242.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and have different modes of operations and different effects such that the photoelectrochemical cell of Invention IV requires a charge

Art Unit: 1745

transferring layer and a photosensitive layer containing a semiconductor sensitized with dye which is not required in the electrochemical cell of Invention III.

- 3. Inventions I, II and III, IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as in a solar cell, a display, a capacitor, etc. and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 4. Inventions I and II, are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as in a liquid display device, a solar cell, a capacitor and the

Art Unit: 1745

inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Claims 1-14 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising an ionic liquid crystal monomer. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

The requirement may be met by applicant(s) electing an ultimate compound species of the ionic liquid crystal monomer from the enabled disclosure.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement to be complete must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. 37 CFR 1.143.

Art Unit: 1745

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement because of the complexity of the restriction and an election of a specie. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura Weiner whose telephone number is (703) 308-4396. The examiner works a flexible schedule.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,368

Page 6

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, can be reached at (703) 308-2383. The fax phone number for non-after finals is 703-872-9310 and the fax phone number for after-finals is 703-872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Laura S. Weiner

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1745

September 12, 2002